

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,519	04/20/2004	Kazuhiro Kato	042338	2758
38834	7590 09/26/	006	EXAM	IINER
	AN, HATTORI, I ECTICUT AVENU	MARCANTONI, PAUL D		
SUITE 700	CHCOT AVENO	, 14 44	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			1755	

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/827,519	KATO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul Marcantoni	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) M ute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	June 2006.					
, ,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-16 is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/or alaction requirem	ont				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-16</u> are subject to restriction	rand/or election requirem	eru.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			NED 4 404(4)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
,	Examiner. Note the attack		10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ente house hoor received					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		•	l Stage			
application from the International Bure	•		0,000			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		ot received.				
·		·				
Au. 1 4 .						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application				
C. Delegational Trademode Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/827,519

Art Unit: 1755

The applicants' 6/15/06 amendment and response is noted and will be addressed after an election is made from the restriction requirement below. Note that restriction is proper even at this point in prosecution (See MPEP 811 below). It is also noted that rejoinder is possible of Groups I and II but it requires the selection of the product claim of Group I and upon a finding of allowance of Group I, the scope of the non-elected process claims of Group II must be of the same exact scope as the allowed claims of Group I.

811 [R-3] Time for Making Requirement

37 CFR 1.142(a), second sentence, indicates that a restriction requirement "will normally< be made before any action upon the merits; however, it may be made at any time before final action. This means the examiner should make a proper requirement as early as possible in the prosecution, in the first action if possible, otherwise, as soon as the need for a proper requirement develops.

Before making a restriction requirement after the first action on the merits, the examiner will consider whether there will be a serious burden if restriction is not required. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5, and 12-16, drawn to luster color material/coating composition, classified in class 106, subclass 415.
- II. Claims 6-11, drawn to a method of producing a metal luster material, classified in class 427, subclass 216+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2)

Application/Control Number: 10/827,519

Art Unit: 1755

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product of claim 1 can be made by a materially different process such as electroless plating.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,519

Art Unit: 1755

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755